Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 03/07/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 8:

Christina Bailey, Courtney Johnson, Kelly Rawls, JT Taylor

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **91** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **98**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your first sprint. I know you will continue to improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (03/07/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 13 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 91 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 13 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 98 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report you included looks professional, but see the following issues:
  + Please see the sprint 6 grading sheet to see what you TOC is supposed to be—the CD is supposed to be in Section 1
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Your non-functional requirements were good
* Sprint items
  + See comments below about product backlogs
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation should include (this is on page 3 of the Project Notes—ask me if you do not know where they are):
    - Progress and accomplishments for the sprint
    - Problems encountered with the sprint
    - An analysis of progress against schedule (e.g., due dates, sprints)
    - Activities for the coming sprint, including any deliverables
    - Any other items that would be of interest to management (professor)
    - Total number of hours worked on the sprint
* The Project plan is good
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The non-functional requirements are good
* The UCD needs to have the lines start from the same location on each actor
* The CD is good
* All sprint items were good
  + The product backlog should not have items 11 & 12 since you are still working on items 8 & 9—you do not close 8 & 9 until it is finished
  + In future sprints do not show items 1-32, but just show the systems user requirements
  + Item 33 is not a requirement
  + I do not need the data sheet for the burndown, I just need the burndown graph
* PSPI is good

Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.72/4 = 68%. You can see I gave you a 98%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.